# Translation

## PATENT COORERATION TREATY



#### **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

|   |   |                   | يه ټاپور <sup>انه ي</sup> وکيو  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference 2120/1-PCT  | FOR FURTHER ACTION  |                   | cation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) |  |  |  |  |  |
| International application No.   | International filing date (day)   | /month/year)      | Priority date (day/month/year)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PCT/EP2003/007417   | 09 July 2003 (09.07   | 7.2003)           | 10 July 2002 (10.07.2002)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or n<br>C23G 1/36   | ational classification and IPC  |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant   | UVK ENGINEERING   | 3 СМВН            |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| This international preliminary exami-<br>and is transmitted to the applicant ac-  | nation report has been prepared<br>cording to Article 36.   | d by this Interna | ational Preliminary Examining Authority                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. This REPORT consists of a total of   | 5 sheets, includi   | ng this cover sh  | peet.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of3 sheets. |   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| This report contains indications relating to the following items:   |   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basis of the report   |   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Priority   |   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| III Non-establishment of  | III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV Lack of unity of inver   | IV Lack of unity of invention   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| v Reasoned statement u  | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| VI Certain documents cit  | VI Centain documents cited  |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| VII . Certain defects in the  | VII Certain defects in the international application  |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIII Certain observations on the international application  |   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of submission of the demand  | Date of   | completion of     | this report   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 February 2004 (10.02.2   |   | •                 | tober 2004 (01.10.2004)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP   | Authori   | ized officer      |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facsimile No.   | Telepho   | one No.           |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

#### AN DU ANIMATION DEDOUT

International applicat
PCT/EP2003/007417

| 1 D!-  | a Coba sa  | nort.   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | of the re  |   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. With  |  | o the cloments of the international application:*   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ų  |  | emational application; as originally filed  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$  | the desc   | ecription:  | , as originally filed                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages  | 1, 3-15   | , filed with the demand               |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages  | 2, 2a, 2b , filed with the letter of  | 19 July 2004 (19.07.2004)             |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages  | Z, 28, 20 , The Will the read of  | <u></u>                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$  | the clair  | •   | os suicinally filed                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages  | 1-25  | , as originally filed                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages  | , as amended (together wit  | . filed with the demand               |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages  |   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages  | , filed with the letter of  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$  | the drav   | winger  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages  | 1/2.2/3   | , as originally filed                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages  |   | , nied with the demand                |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages  | mi d in ab d man of   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The com-   | ence listing part of the description:   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| لـا  |  | enter itsting pair of the description.  | , as originally filed                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages .  |   | filed with the demand                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pages  | , filed with the letter of  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language</li></ul> |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.   | The state been full The arm  | ational application as filed has been furnished.  Interment that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to urnished.  Interments have resulted in the cancellation of: | the written sequence listing has      |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig port has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since   | they have been considered to go       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. 🔲   | beyond   | the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rine 70.2(c)).   | n under Aeticle 14 are referred to    |  |  |  |  |  |
| in ti<br>and   | his report<br>70 17).  | et as "originally filea" and are not annexed to this report since they  |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** Any   | ** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report. |   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box I) (July 1998)

: )

NO

| V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |             |                            |     |  |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------------|-----|--|--|
| Statement  | <del></del> | •                          |     |  |  |
| Novelty (N)  | Claims      | 1-15, 17, 18, 23           | YES |  |  |
|  | Claims      | 16, 19-22, 24, 25          | NO  |  |  |
| Inventive step (IS)  | Claims      | 4-13, 15, 17, 18, 23       | YES |  |  |
|  | Claims      | 1-3, 14, 16, 19-22, 24, 25 | NO  |  |  |
| · Industrial applicability (IA)  | Claims .    | 1-25                       | YES |  |  |

Citations and explanations

( )

This report makes reference to the following documents:

Claims

- D1: KLADNIG W F: 'INDUSTRIELLE OXIDROHSTOFFE

  HERSTELLUNG NACH DEM ANDRITZ-RUTHNER
  SPRUEHROSTVERFAHREN', SPRECHSAAL, VERLAG DES

  SPRECHSAAL MULLER UND SCHMIDT, COBURG, DE,

  Vol. 124, No. 11/12, 1 November 1991 (1991-11
  01), pages 748-754, XP000272593, ISSN: 03410676
- D2: EP-A-0 578 537 (LORRAINE LAMINAGE), 12 January 1994 (1994-01-12)
- 2. Document D1 discloses a method for preparing metal pickling solutions and at the same time producing iron oxides poor in silicic acid. The pickling solution is first neutralised with metal scrap (conversion of the free acids into the metal salt form), silicic acid is separated, the solution is concentrated in the "preconcentrator" and supplied to a spray calcination stage. Document D1 relates mainly to the production of iron oxides, but also clearly discloses methods for preparing pickling solutions. It is repeatedly stated in that document

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (January 1994)

( )

i )

that the metal salt solution used is a pickling solution and that one of the advantages of the method is the recycling of hydrochloric acid (see D1, "description of the method", "products for the ferrite industry", and figure 1).

- 2.1 Document D2 discloses a method for purifying used pickling solutions. The pickling solution is first neutralised with a metal and silicic acid is separated. The purified solution is supplied to a spray calcination stage (see column 1, lines 11-23; the claims; and example 2).
- 2.2 Both documents fail to disclose precisely how the rinsing and used air scrubbing waters produced in the pickling installation are treated. The methods as per claims 1-15 are therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).
- 2.3 However, document D1, in particular, discloses devices as per claims 16, 19-22, 24 and 25. A device does not become novel in that it is used to implement a novel method. A device, such as the device used in D1, does not differ from a device in which rinsing water or used air scrubbing water are also treated. The subject matter of these claims is therefore not novel.

Document D1 does not disclose an installation for separating the pickling solution or an installation for neutralising rinsing water or used air scrubbing water. The subject matter of claims 17, 18 and 23 is therefore novel

3. The objective problem solved by the methods as per

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (January 1994)

()

claims 1-3 and 14 can be considered to be, in view of the disclosure of documents D1 or D2, to be that of proposing a method which also permits the treatment of industrial effluents.

Both documents D1 and D2 fail to disclose precisely how the rinsing water and used air scrubbing water produced in the pickling installation are treated. However, it would be clear to a person skilled in the art that this type of industrial effluent can be subjected to the same methods as the pickling solution. The subject matter of claims 1-3 and 14 is therefore not inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

4. The subject matter of claims 4-13, 15, 17, 18 and 23, however, is considered inventive. These claims disclose method steps (and the corresponding devices) which make it more economical to treat together the pickling solution and the industrial effluents, or which permit the treatment of special steel pickling solutions. Documents D1 and D2 do not suggest the treatment of special steel pickling solutions and do not propose the additional method steps.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (January 1994)